## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

THE MELBOURNE CLUB

MELBOURNE

FRIDAY 28 FEBRUARY 2014

"Drugs in Sport"

PRESENTED BY: THE HONOURABLE JOHN FAHEY AC

Telephone: 8628 5555 Facsimile: 9642 5185

1	DR LYTHGO: If everyone is seated I think it is time we got
2	going. It is a great privilege to introduce our speaker
3	tonight, the Honourable John Fahey, and we thank you,
4	Mr Fahey, for your very generous response to our request
5	that you come here.

2.0

2.5

John Fahey was born in Wellington in New Zealand and migrated to Australia in 1956 at the age of 10. He was educated at Chevalier College in Bowral and at Melbourne University where he graduated in Law. He entered the State Parliament in New South Wales representing the seat of the Southern Highlands and he was Premier from 1992 to 1995. In that time he was also Treasurer and Minister for Economic Development. From 1996 to 2001 he was a member of the Federal Parliament representing the seat of Macarthur and was Finance Minister in the Howard Government.

Sport has been a lifelong passion, I gather. He was a notable rugby league player and a professional coach and captain and has remained active in administration of rugby league. From 2001 to 2007 he was a director and chairman of the Bradman Foundation which, amongst other activities promoting cricket, operates one of the premier cricket museums in the world in Bowral, I gather.

He was also Chair of the committee that was responsible for the bid for the Sydney Olympic Games, the successful bid for the Sydney Olympic Games. In 2007 he was appointed or elected president of the World Anti-Doping Authority for a six-year term which finished last year on 31 December last year.

His contributions to the community and to non-profit organisations and his activity on various boards are

1	legion and really too long for us to even start listing.
2	He was appointed a Companion of the Order of Australia
3	which is our highest honour in 2002. Now, the AFL season
4	is hotting up and the drug question over that isn't likely
5	to go away. We have here tonight a number of our
6	colleagues who are very active in the Lycra Brigade who
7	are probably, I imagine, eagerly waiting to find out which

professional cyclist doesn't take drugs.

Now, there are also questions over some of the less likely sports or the less obvious sports. Lawn bowls, for instance, I was thinking of. I just wondered what the World Anti-Doping Authority's attitude is to all of the beta blockers that the bowlers are taking. And another thought was synchronised swimmers, those pegs on the nose, what exactly is under them? Who could be more qualified to really help us in these observations? I welcome and I ask you to welcome the Honourable John Fahey to enlighten us.

MR FAHEY: Well, Marg, thank you very, very much for comprehensive introduction and I thank each of you for the opportunity to spend some time with you. I was asked to do this by one of my colleagues, colleague directors, on the board of Avant which I had been on and associated with Avant and its predecessor for the best part of 10 years and I was more than happy to say, well, yes, if you give me a little bit of room on the date I will be happy to come.

I started to think about it and I thought, well, look, in my young days practising law I could not have survived in my motor accident cases without really good medical reports and I couldn't have certainly run so many

2.0

2.5

of those cases without the evidence of capable and articulate doctors. So I presume that was part of why you two are together; medico-legal. I thought, of course, again, about our objectives in Avant and it is there to assist, protect and safeguard the doctors so that they can go about doing what they do and doing it well knowing that there is a back-up.

Then I thought about my more recent years and where I am now part of this, my wife describes it as the medical merry-go-round. I started unfortunately with the removal of a lung for cancer 12 years ago which is why I left politics and in more recent times the rugby league that Margo spoke of has required me to get the nose sorted out. It has also required me to have a complete knee replacement and I had something taken off my cheek just last year. And every time I am about to go under anaesthetic they push a piece of paper in front of me which says, "Sign here." And I thought, "My God, doctors can't work these days without a lawyer telling them how to do it. First step: make sure you get the waiver and the disclaimer." So I realised the connection.

I have then been informed to know that it has got nothing to do with any of these things. But you just come together because you like each other's company. It is historical and you see it as something that you get an enjoyment out of. So my whole thoughts have been changed from the moment I got here this evening.

But, look, tonight I want to talk about something that isn't well known and it isn't well known, if I could suggest, because it is pretty new. They tell me that that there were drugs in sport back to the ancient Greek times.

2.5

They tell me arsenic was used by the ancient Greeks to assist in performance and I think there is some considerable evidence to suggest that probably the greatest Australia racehorse, Phar Lap, who died in the United States, died because the trainer wanted to get some performance enhancement through the use of arsenic as well.

So we know that performance enhancing has been on the mind of those connected with sport long before anybody thought about the World Anti-Doping Authority. It started though as a result of the failure year after year of the Tour de France. And if you think back to the '80s and to the '90s, year after year there was scandal after scandal and some responsible sports administrators including my predecessor, a Canadian who came out of the sport of swimming who has been on the IOC for a long time, and a really good man, Dick Pound, he was one of them.

They said, "We have got to do something about this. We cannot continue to let what is a problem, clearly a problem, and most recognise then and now as the biggest single problem to the integrity of sport, performance enhancing drugs. We have got to do something about it."

The IOC relented, reluctantly as I understand it, with the then President, Juan Antonio Samaranch, and called a meeting in Lausanne in 1999. They invited not just sports administrators, but also governments come along to that.

And I think they lost control of the agenda because the outcome was the formation of a body, one, was independent, two, was international and, three, given the task of developing a set of rules or code that would be obviously harmonious around the world but applicable no matter what

2.5

the sport was, no matter where the country was, no matter what the gender of the athlete was, and that is what the code was that ultimately was developed.

2.5

They got together a few years later in 2003 in Copenhagen and signed off on this set of rules and the sign off involved the IOC particularly leading sport but 193 countries of the world agreed that they would adopt this code, this set of rules, to deal with cheating, which is what taking some drug that gives you an advantage is, this would deal with cheating in sport no matter where.

How are you going to make that work? Sport said that first the Olympic sports have to be compliant with the rules that we have set up - and that is, of course, governed by that code - by the start of the Olympics in Athens in 2004. And the government said, "We will aim to enshrine this code in law through a UNESCO or a United Nations Convention by 2006." I can say on the eve of the Athens Olympics, cycling signed up as the last of the Olympic sports, summer and winter. Not surprising as we look at how things unfolded in that sport.

Now, I can say today of the 193 countries, who have ratified the UNESCO convention, who said they would move to ratify the UNESCO convention, there are 175 of those countries who have done so. Of course, that includes in the early pieces, in the early years, Australia. So, of course, if you ratify a United Nations convention it effectively makes it law in your own country.

Some countries have subsequently moved to have independent legislation and Australia is one. There is an ASADA Act of Parliament which supports and backs up that UNESCO convention and I think that is good because what I

like about it is in that it allows again having due regard for privacy and due regard for information which must be protected. It allows ASADA to work constructively with Australian Federal Police and with such other agencies as customs because a lot of the stuff, of course, comes through the mail, comes across the borders from other parts of the world and, dare I say it, particularly from China.

2.5

So that is how this thing started. What of course it contains is somewhat complex and I will do my very, very best to be brief on trying to explain it. To become code compliant were some 650 bodies ranging from individual sports to international federations of sport and anti-doping organisations the like of ASADA, UKAD, the United Kingdom Anti-Doping, USADA, the United States Anti-Doping, RUSADA, and on its goes, the Russian Anti-Doping. They have independent anti-doping organisations that are stand alone and there are about 71 of those in those countries that I spoke of that signed up to it.

The remaining countries are picked up in what are called regional anti-doping organisations. If I could take you to Oceania, there is an office in Suva in Fiji and that office endeavours to look after all of the Pacific Islands. There are some 14 countries there including Papua New Guinea. If I could suggest to you that at the level of international sport there is not a huge demand in those Pacific Islands for sporting events, et cetera. But they certainly do have a compliant program.

So how do you become complaint? Well, compliant requires a few ingredients. One: a set of rules that is

compliant. Not hard to do but I have got to say sometimes
I am very, very frustrated with some of the suggestions
that came out of the legal profession. Even being a
previous member of that profession it is amazing that if
you give a set of rules to a group of lawyers in any
country they will tell you where they can be improved and
I guess that is the nature of the beast. But patience
gets you there. So: a set of rules.

2.5

An education program and I want to finish up - end my remarks on that education area. That is important. Your athletes have got to be educated as to it means. That education has to be based upon values. Why do you not take these? Well, I would say to you, and I will come back to this of course also, just think about that phone call that came on Melbourne radio one morning last year from a plaintiff cry from a mother who used the words, "What about my son?" And say to yourself if these sort of things are going into the bodies of young people, and it is invariably young people, what damage is it doing to their health or to their quality of life and we know sadly too much about that to be able to suggest there is anything in it that is good.

So that education has to be values-based, as to why you wouldn't do it. Not because of, you know, you will be found and you will be kicked out. But you can destroy your life if you start taking some of these things which may give you a short-term benefit but a long-term headache. So education is the second thing.

You have got to have a testing program. A testing program requires what is called in competition and out of competition. Out of competition is when you are in the

offseason, when you are outside the actual contest. For example, the in competition in the Olympics is from the time you go into the village until the time you finish competing at those Olympics. Then you go into out of competition again because there is no event on your horizon in the foreseeable or in the immediate future.

2.5

So you have to have a program that not only allows those who have got a responsibility of ensuring that there is a good testing program to get access to you when you are competing and to get access to you when you are in the offseason when you are not competing. And it is an amazing set of arguments and views you get on that. But I can say to you that I have had from the very top of soccer, without mentioning names, but names that are well known around the world, of: "Surely the soccer players are allowed to have a holiday where they can get on the drugs?" And I think we all know that some of these things have a residual value in them and you can do it if you had a holiday and it will certainly help you when you go back to work, back to work in competing in sport.

So the reality is that it can't. We know you can't, apart from that health aspect of it. So the in competition and the out of competition process as well. It also involves, of course, a capacity within that program to put people on a registered testing pool and it is easy if you want to simply stay one step ahead of the knock on the door that might want a sample from you, urine or blood, because you just keep moving around. And I had a problem the year before last in Kenya. There are some wonderful academies there at altitude where athletes from around the world, the best distance athletes, go there

year after year to train at altitude. It is a very hard place to get to take a sample of blood or urine and to get it back within the rules which says must test within 24 hours in some part of the world in one of the accredited laboratories. We have only got 34 of those around the world as well and I will give you a reason for that before I finish as well.

So that is effectively it. Now, if you go through that process and you step outside what is required under those rules, it is described as an anti-doping violation. It is easy if the laboratory say you have got X or Y drug in your system and that is on the prohibited list you are in trouble straight away. It is not quite so easy when it comes to possession. It is not quite so easy when it comes to trafficking. It is not quite so easy when it comes to the issue of tampering.

I will always remember this: my mum and dad were
Irish and came out to New Zealand originally as migrants
and so I guess I have still got that affinity with Ireland
and am, you know, very proud of the fact. I never
understand back in the games in Atlanta that the swimmer
that scooped the pool, her name was Michelle Smith, a very
Irish name, Michelle Smith, she in fact was running about
48th in all the trials and the next thing she was winning
gold medals. Everybody believed she was a cheat and of
course to make it even more complicated for my simple
brain, I knew that Ireland in those days never even had an
Olympic size pool so where the hell was she getting all
this skill from? She was married to a Dutchman and I
think he was a discus thrower and I think we subsequently
found out.

2.5

But, look, they didn't get her in those days. They subsequently got her when she tampered with a urine sample. She put of all things Irish whiskey in it and that was found out and, of course, that is an offence and so she was rubbed out and never competed again. So there are many, many sort of areas where there is an offence and it is in that context that you have to again make these rules work in the interests always of clean sports men and women and I believe the overwhelming majority of everybody who is involved in sport it is just inherent in sport that there be fair play and the overwhelming majority are interested in fair play and not in cheating.

So, in any event, the code was then ratified and the implementation started around 2004 and I have got to say we have got better since. The original process was in fact to look at it from the point of view of just random testing, a bit like the breathalyser that might go on the roads tonight. If you do enough tests in a particular spot, the odds are you might pick somebody up who has gone over the 0.05 and that was the feeling. We realised very quickly that mostly these tests and the analysis of those tests in laboratories, bearing in mind the laboratory might be a fair distance, there is only 34 in the world and in Oceania it is in the Northern suburbs of Sydney near Ryde. It is the only one in Oceania that is an accredited laboratory.

That is expensive and I think I can say to you that your average test, blood and urine, comes out somewhere between about \$800 and \$1,000 by the time you get transportation in and the process from start to finish. So you have got to be a little bit sensible how you use

2.5

scarce resources and in that regard it has become clear in more recent years, and it will change as a result of our conference last year, that there was a reluctant to do blood tests, which is strange in many ways. I mean, if I could suggest to you there are 650 signatories to this code.

We talked about funny sports or Margo did when she started. I mean, the sports there, I don't know what they are. I have never seen Korfball. I can imagine what dog-sledding is. But they are all code compliant. You know, this one always amuses my wife when I tell her, "Well, you know, Bridge, they are code compliant." And chess, they assure me that there is some benefit in drugs that might make the mind a little bit more alert and active and I think the doctors here probably know more about that than I do. But, you know, there are 650 signatories from weird and wonderful sports, some of which I have just described to you.

So it is in that context that we see that there has been an enormous embracing around the world of this particular code. We haven't stopped still. We have reviewed it. In fact, we reviewed it last year and I believe we took some very productive steps to tighten it up a little bit. And it must always be a responsive type thing that allows you ensure that it is there. For example, under that code one of the international standards deals with the prohibited list. That is examined by two experts every single year and things go and come out. Not that often but, you know, you can bring things in and brings things out.

One of the big issues was about the inhalers for

2.5

things like asthma. If they get enough of that, it is an enhancer. I am told too much maybe go the other way. I have always wondered about Marijuana. I thought, my God, when I was playing football, if Marijuana was around I would run around in circles. That is how I understand how Marijuana works. I don't really know, of course. But it is on the prohibited list as well. But only in competition as is Cocaine.

So it is all right if you get picked up for

Marijuana or Cocaine outside of in competition or out of

competition. But in competition of course it is there.

But this list comes and goes a little bit; not much, it

has become pretty static over the years. Who does this?

All those signatories. I have mentioned 71 equivalents of

ASADA in the world in the more settled countries and in

the regional organisations there is a process as well.

So I guess that is the system and I am sure you may have some questions when I conclude shortly. I want to just quickly talk about the law because there are lawyers present. I struggled with this initially and then the more I talked to people, including lawyers, involved in sport the more I realised there was no other way. There is a strict liability. If there is a drug in your system, you may be able to get some mitigation of sanction or penalty, but you are gone. That is the start and finish of it all. If the laboratory gives you a certificate which cannot be challenged in any way and people do try very, very regularly, but I can say almost with - unless sometimes the occasional technicality - rarely do they have success in challenging the laboratories, then unfortunately sanctions will flow.

2.5

So in that context, strict liability takes us away from so called beyond reasonable doubt and even that civil onus of balance of probabilities. And I can say in the 340 submissions in the review we have put together over two years and culminated in our world conference in Johannesburg last November, one person said change that, including sport, including lawyers. Because the recognition is that there will always be an excuse if you go to some other form of liability.

And if I could say you can get mitigation. There are some really interesting stories. I mean, most of the athletes will tell you, "There must have been a mistake. The laboratory must have got it wrong and I am going to fight this," and more often than not they start. there are sometimes when they are a success. I thought the one that amused me the most as I think back on this was a tennis player who did very well here in Melbourne last month in the Australian Open, a Frenchman, Richard Gasquet, and Richard was in a tournament and still in it come Thursday night, had an injury, decided he couldn't turn up the next day and play but it was too late to notify the organisers that evening that he was pulling out which he intended to do then. But because he couldn't convey that withdrawal, he thought, "It doesn't matter. will go off and have a night out because I am not playing tomorrow."

One thing led to another and somewhere in the early hours of the morning he finished up at a nightclub and in the company of one very attractive young lady. It seems they got fairly friendly with one another. The knock came on the door the next morning for a sample and he gave the

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

29

30

sample and it showed up with Cocaine. He made it clear from I must say day one that he never had taken or had used Cocaine and the only thing he could explain it with was that the darkness of the nightclub and the little bit of kissing that was going on and bodily fluid was transferred into his body and that's what showed up the next morning in the sample.

Two months later when he went before the Tribunal, they believed that and let him off at the two-month mark. So I have got to tell you, the excuses — that is one — but the excuses I have seen over the period are just, you know, "The dog ate the homework" is very, very moderate by comparison. So I guess that is why we go to strict liability. But the onus is on you if you apply a strict liability rule to ensure that from a technical point of view you are as close to perfect as you can. And that is why only 34 laboratories in the world are accredited to undertake the analysis of samples associated with the anti-doping code.

We go through a quality control process annually.

We send samples in. The blind samples go in each time and they sometimes contain things and sometimes they don't and you look for the result. They have no idea they are getting these samples because there just a number on them and ultimately that may lead to a suspension of a laboratory and I have got to say last year, for example, and heaven forbid, because we have got World Cup coming up this year in this city and the Olympics in 2016, but we were forced to take the accreditation off the laboratory in Rio de Janeiro and how helpful would that be to World Cup soccer and also for the Olympics this year and in two

2.5

years' t
----------

2.0

2.5

But you must be able to say to your athletes that the process is waterproof, it is watertight, it is not going to - you are never going to - you know, we are going to do everything we possibly can with the strict liability, so you are not going to lose because the skillset wasn't there. And that is why we go to great pains with these laboratories to make sure that they are as competent as humanly possible.

So that is, I guess, the law which is very different. The other thing our code says is that you should have any dispute dealt with by the Court of Arbitration for Sport and by and large that happens. We would see about - I have got to say when all of these cases and results management, as we call it, when there has been an infraction notice issued, a tribunal hearing and a decision taken, all of the transcript comes through to WADA and Montreal at our headquarters and we have got a right of appeal on behalf of the athlete or on behalf of the sport and of the 2000 that came through in 2012 we appealed 40 cases to the Court of Arbitration for Sport.

We designate the Court of Arbitration for Sport because it was set up by the Olympic movement for the purposes of being: affordable, and in that context, the suggestion in the early days was: you don't necessarily need a lawyer to put your case; to be your accessible so you could get there quickly, not have a hell of a waiting list which took you a year or so to actually get through the door; and to be expeditious, that is that waiting issue as well.

So I think it is fair to say that most of the cases

have lawyers attached to them. Some of them go on for a long time and I remember Floyd Landis, the cyclist who took on WADA and said, "I am innocent," despite the fact that he flew over the mountain in a way which no human could possibly have done it having been a miserable rider the day before. He blamed the Paris laboratory and by the time we finished in the case in the United States it cost WADA \$1.5m because the US Anti-Doping didn't have the money so we said, "Look, it is the principle. We have got to defend you."

And, of course, no consolation when a year later he said, "I was telling lies. I was a cheat always." We had to run that case. But it can be expensive because some jurisdictions are expensive but the intention was that CAS, the Court of Arbitration for Sport, would give you a relatively simple process of getting justice.

Unfortunately I see this development where it is not quite so simple. So I guess it is important that those appeal

We come to Australia. Nothing in my six years got even close to what happened here in February of last year in terms of shock, surprise and wrong way about, do I describe it. Most of what occurs is an enquiry that is kept very much quiet and our code requires people like ASADA or any other anti-doping organisation to maintain strict confidentiality. If you start talking about who you are interviewing, there is an immediate conclusion by the public out there that that person must be a cheat. So

rights are there on behalf of athlete and sport.

Then all of a sudden one day in February last year

2.5

you try and protect the innocent by keeping it in-house,

keeping the whole thing confidential all the way through.

we were all regaled by the head of the Australian Crimes

Commission standing up alongside two Ministers of the

Crown and the heads of our major sporting bodies in this

country and we were told that there was a problem, that it

involved more than one code of football, that it involved

association with the underworld and it probably included

match fixing.

After that particular press conference, ASADA was told to go out, gather the information and they could not use the Australian Crime Commission information. They had to gather their own information and proceed under the WADA code to determine whether there had been breaches, antidoping violations as I described them to you earlier. So the cart was before the horse. The expectation understandably of the public, fans, particularly the fans of Essendon - and dare I say it for those who understand a little bit about Sydney, the Cronulla Sharks - they immediately started to wonder where their club was going and we saw of course that unfold in its own way last year.

I don't think ASADA ever had clear air to deal with that properly. The fans had an expectation. I can tell you the media - my life - they want tomorrow's news today and are the most impatient of everybody. They don't particularly want to patiently wait for things to unfold. They want to report what the news is going to be tomorrow on tonight's news. So time and again the scrutiny was placed on ASADA and the accusations were made, you know, "What is holding you up?" et cetera, et cetera.

It is clear in both codes that patience ran out. In the case of Essendon, the AFL had decided they had to get it behind them as best they could before the finals series

2.5

started and they dealt with it under the code of conduct and you know the consequences there. Quite frankly I thought a shemozzle in the end and I think as we look back on what they did do they achieved not a hell of a lot and left a lot of questions still hanging out there.

I might say they also seem to suggest that whilst they had worked hand in hand, and there is nothing wrong with that, with the anti-doping organisation ASADA, they seemed to suggest that they were convinced that that was the end of it from ASADA's point of view as well. As far as I am aware, and I don't know details of what player and I don't want to, or what official, there is a chapter or two to go in this story just yet.

If I could say and come back to that plaintiff cry from that mother that morning on Melbourne radio that was certainly played up in my part of the world and asked this question. We all know something happened. We know by admissions that in that club there were literally hundreds of needles given over a period of time. We know they were asked to sign declarations - I think I started in a lighthearted manner talking about it at the beginning of these remarks - effectively saying, "Look, you know, we won't hold you, Essendon, liable. What you are doing for us" - we know that many of these players are very much influenced by, and we are all, by people in authority, particularly when you are a young person, in this case coach and other officials. And we don't know what was inside the syringes.

We are told certain things and I can say without one little bit of hesitation the so-called anti-obesity drug, AOD-9604, never been approved for human consumption to

2.5

USADA's(?) knowledge anywhere in the world; therefore, on the prohibited list. Before a Brownlow medal winner went on television and said that is what he was taking. So I know how it is going to finish up but it would seem to me that a workplace and its duty of care which lawyers know about and doctors know about. Avant Insurance knows about this duty of this care. That is what we are there for.

It requires surely in your workplace to be able to undertake your duty as an employee, and footballers are, knowing that they are not going to make you do something which may be of damage to your health, damage to the quality of your life in the years ahead and that is again what that mother was asking that particular day. And I still am bewildered by the fact that WorkSafe Victoria has never been in this particular equation. It seems to me any other workplace they would have been there very, very quickly and been examining all this under the Occupational Health & Safety Act. But I haven't seen that occur anywhere at all.

So is it all over in Sydney or in Melbourne? As far as I know it is not. As far as I know they will draw their conclusions. They will see and to me I won't be, I suppose, totally satisfied. I accept that I personally think that ASADA is a very good organisation. Having looked at them all around the world I think they are a very genuine and professional group. But in the end, if this goes to a particular hearing, a proper hearing, and nobody gets taken out, that is fine, I accept that. That is the nature of process that I think is important. But after what we have seen, after what the Switkowski report suggested, surely there has got to be some more steps than

2.5

that before it is over.

2.5

I would like, like you, and particularly if you are a Bombers fan, to see it have happened now. I would like to see it happen soon but, in my view, something has to happen that allows this to be dealt with and examined fully and properly otherwise I believe we will be the laughing stock. Now, here it is, Australians. The reputation around the world to be good sports, if we don't see this dealt with in a proper fashion, irrespective of whether there is nothing in it or not, then I suspect there will always be a finger pointed at us.

If I could say, each year in February I have gone off to in my six weeks off to Europe somewhere for a media symposium to try and explain where we are at, what is going on and anti-doping, et cetera, and we have gone to Europe always. Last February, a week after that announcement that I described to you that you all saw anyhow, I was in London for a symposium. We had double the journalists, the bulk of them being British, and, boy, did they enjoy it. Saying about the Aussies, you know, "What's going on over there?" I couldn't get away from the questions on what was happening in Australia.

So I think the eyes of the world are on us and, as I say, I don't draw any conclusion to what happened. I just simply say that if there is a code that we have signed up to, along with another multiple of countries, the bulk of countries. In fact, those who have actually signed up to the UNESCO convention ratified it, represent 98 per cent of the world's population. Let us have at least a proper process to bring a conclusion rather than nothing to happen from this point on. If you listen to some people,

1 it is all over.

2.5

I finish with this: the challenges. I should be very careful when I say I am concluding. When I was the Premier I had a detective sergeant who was there to protect me and I can tell you what he did was made sure I never jaywalked. I always go to the lights and cross over when it was green and it took us a hell of a long time to get around Sydney when we could have slipped through the traffic much easier. But that was his job. He was a lovely fellow. In fact, he ended up marrying my personal assistant and they had their reception in our garden in Bowral. And dare I say it, they fell in love because I took them all to Monte Carlo and while I was out trying to get votes I said to Geoff, "Detective Sergeant, look after Barbara," and realised months later he was doing that very well, thank you. So he is a friend.

He is a friend but many a time he would be as he was. He had to be with me. He would be in the back of the room when I would make a speech and sometimes my wife is there and on one occasion he said to my wife, "Colleen," he said, "you know, when John says he is coming to the end he says words like 'in conclusion', he has got eight minutes to go." I promise you it will only take me another minute.

I wish the education program had been adopted more. If I could tell you in Japan there is a module about the dangers of performance enhancing drugs in every high school. Every student and high school is given some knowledge and information about the dangers of performance enhancing drugs. We haven't embraced that in too many other countries of the world and I haven't been too

successful in getting support from Canberra on that to introduce it to where we are here.

2.5

I say that because to me it was always as important to deal with young people in our gyms and health centres to make sure that they never got off the rails. I mean, you can go to the ones that give you the publicity, the Lance Armstrong's and everybody else. But you know that tonight in this city there is somebody popping a pill out there and pumping iron in front of a mirror to look good. This image enhancing process that is assisted by performance enhancing drugs and I worry about what that does to the health and quality of life of those people going down that track and I believe only education can do that.

The last thing I can say to you is that I am yet to meet a minister who sincerely looked me in the eye anywhere in the world and said, "When I catch a cheat in my country I see that as a badge of honour." They hate it. It is a nuisance. I remember in London around the time of the Olympics talking to the Minister of Sport from Luxembourg, a guy called Andy Schleck had been picked up in the Tour de France a month before and he said, "What am I going to do about it? How can I make this go away? It is just such a nuisance?" You know, "Why has this happened to me while I am the sports minister?"

I could say the same thing about sport: they don't want to know that their sport has got a problem. So every one of them tells you, "We support what you are doing. We are committed to it." But, you know, that is the talk and I don't like the expression all that much. I rarely see it matched up by the walk and I wish that was better. I

mean, there are lots of problems in the world. In this instance, I guess this is a chance for us to ensure that our young people go through life healthy rather than go through life believing that it is important. And if you need to sort of realise how you have failed and sometimes maybe I am little bit over-critical over the role that I have played which has been a great privilege and an enormous challenge.

I saw an article two weeks ago in our Sunday paper in Sydney saying that we are now seeing in the fitness clubs in Sydney these containers where you can drop your needles and syringes into: please. You know, I mean, I know I had to wrestle in my days in New South Wales politics with the fact that we were providing clean needles in gaols because we couldn't stop drugs going into gaols and we didn't want it to spread. It took a while for me to convince myself that that was the lesser of two evils. But surely we can get our gyms right in the context of avoiding what is happening there that might do damage to our young people right across the board, whether they are good at sport or don't even play sport but want to get fit through the gyms.

Look, it has been a great privilege for me to have had this opportunity, as I indicated. I found it absorbing. I found it challenging. I got a few joys out of it. It was great to go to the World Cup rugby final in Auckland. That was one of, I guess, the things that flows from it all. It was certainly very demanding. I was offshore about 14 weeks of every year and I found myself certainly trying to battle the body clock because I figured that in those six years I did something more than

2.5

1 350 international flights

11

12

13

14

15

16

If there is one thing I would ask my government to 2 do right now, and it happened to me again today, because I 3 4 have got an artificial knee they make me take my belt off, my shoes off, every time I go through it and when I did 5 that all around the world I always thought, "God, there 6 7 has got to be a simpler way of getting through security. 8 I really am not a terrorist and never will be as best I 9 know myself." But sometimes, of course, when you have got to do all of that it becomes very, very tedious. 10

But the privilege and the joy and the fact that it was worthwhile I think outweighed all of that. I have probably long exceeded the time I suggested I was going to take and taken all chances of questions out of the equation because you are all very hungry but thank you anyhow for listening.

DR LYTHGO: Well, I think we have got time for a few questions
but we don't want to upset the dining room. Michael
Gronow has got his usual microphone that he will wander
around with if anyone would like to ask any questions.
You will probably want to get down to the nitty gritty
now.

23 QUESTION: (Indistinct) a psychiatrist. Thank you for a really 24 interesting talk. I just wondered about your point about 25 WorkCover or WorkCare. If we brought in legislation the 26 same as for bullying that any workplace that had these drugs available or had occurred on their workplace they 27 got a fine of \$250,000 or half a million dollars, do you 28 29 think that might be helpful, the same as for bullying? MR FAHEY: Look, I guess, you know, I would just simply put it 30 31 this way. I mean, I fully understand the influence a

coach or management have over young players and saying,
"This will improve the way you play and improve the output
from our team." Is there an undue influence there that
suggests that these guys are bullied into doing what they
do? I mean, our code says you are personally responsible
for anything that goes into your body. You can't blame
the coach. You are personally responsible.

But I simply say this, that a football club is a workplace. If things were happening in a workplace, and it wasn't just here but I saw the admissions made as to what was happening and they are mentioned through the Switkowski report, the same thing was acknowledge in Sydney with Cronulla, then I just wonder where the regulators are that are supposed to be responsible for ensuring that there are safe work practices in all places of employment.

I think the bullying comes to another level and I am not sure how it surfaces in clubs or in sport. I certainly do though acknowledge that young people will invariably follow directions. If that overbearing approach is there it will cross over to the point of being bullying.

medical. I hope this is not an unfair question. I can understand that stimulants on game day are performance enhancing but I would actually put it that used during training they are also performance enhancing because they allow the athlete to train to a much greater extent than

Thank you for the presentation. Greg Whelan:

otherwise. So I was interested in why they were in one

30 basket and not in the other.

31 MR FAHEY: Well, look, if I could indicate to you, there

2.0

2.5

QUESTION:

perhaps another arm to it which I didn't say in my remarks tonight, to satisfy an anti-doping violation it must come within two of three criteria. The three criteria are performance enhancing which is easy and we talked about in competition, out of competition, game day. And game day, I mean, I mentioned game in the Olympics being when you go into the village until such time which may be two and a half, three weeks later you complete your event.

In other games, for example in a tennis competition, it is the opening game through to the time that you either win the competition or lose in the competition, whatever day that is in the days that follow in an open like Australia. It is 14 days of competition and so you are in competition for in some cases if you get to the final for 14 days.

I hear what you are saying about stimulants being available in training but the three arms are, firstly, performance enhancing, secondly, adverse to the health of the athlete, and thirdly, against the spirit of the sport. So as much as certain things are not seen as being performance enhancing, they can be picked up at training if somebody takes a sample on the other two arms and only two of the three are satisfactory.

I might say one of the longest debates I had in my six years was trying to define cannabis or marijuana. The feeling was, and I have to say personally and one shouldn't express personal views in roles like this, I have never liked the word "recreation drug." To me it is illicit, it is illegal. You know, "recreation": please, it does harm. So to me it is not a recreation drug.

But to the extent that I have to be realistic and

2.5

acknowledge that most young people have a go sometime when they are at a party or otherwise. We work very hard to say, "Well, look, because that actually hangs around in the system much longer than most other drugs, how do we deal with it?" And we decided to lift the threshold. So effectively if I could describe, and most people would, it would mean that you have had marijuana within a couple of days of game day, not two weeks earlier when you are at a party because you are not going to take a drag two weeks before you are about to compete on the basis, "Oh, gee, this will do me wonders in two weeks' time when I get on the" - you don't think that way; most people don't.

So we changed the threshold, the level that is in your system for that purpose and again being a simple lawyer I never understood what damage marijuana could do but they tell me it is fantastic for putting in golf.

They say, gee, you have got a steady hand when you have got a long putt. And they also tell me it is good for pistol shooting and I have got to say the thought of somebody under the influence of marijuana with a live pistol in their hand and spraying the crowd perhaps instead of aiming at the target scares me a bit also. So apparently it can be of some benefit in some sports. On the other hand there are certain drugs that are banned for certain sports. For example, in motor sports alcohol is prohibited. I think we all understand why.

QUESTION: Mr Fahey, thank you for your presentation and your

(indistinct). You spoke in detail about AFL and rugby

league. Reflecting on that experience do you think local,

very well established sports, pose different political

challenges for implementation of the code than

2.5

1	international	sports	like	the	disciplines	in	the	Olympic
2	Games?							

2.0

2.5

MR FAHEY: Look, I have done my best to just do a bit of road testing as best you can informally do that. It is very clear to me talking to former cyclists that drug taking in that sport was endemic around the world. I don't think there is any doubt about that. You know, we all hear the world body, UCI, defend itself regularly and we all know the Armstrong story and the lies that were told there. It is also clear to me that in sports like body building that it is rampant, particularly the steroids and in weight lifting. It is getting better but it was pretty ordinary a few years ago.

So in this road testing I also went to I guess up where I live in the sports that I - the football that I have been associated with and to players that were around at the top in my area that are friends of mine or I know well enough to ask them and I said, "What did you do when you were back playing for whatever that club was in the Sydney competition in the 60s and 70s?" And they will tell me there were a lot of people who were taking those tablets that the interstate truck drivers were taking to stay awake.

And I even had the big temptation myself I have got to tell you. When I was playing when I first left school for a club in the town of Camden, the trainer was the man who turned out to be ultimately my father-in-law. So I said to him at the end of that season when he was giving these little white pills to the players, "Terry," I said, "look, I am not really happy about this." I mean, I can tell you honestly I never took them. I said, "I am not

1	really happy about this, you know. It just seems to me
2	that these guys complain they can never go to sleep on the
3	night after the game as they drink as much, you know, to
4	sort of sink a mule but they still can't go to sleep." So
5	I said, you know, "That can't be good." He said, "Look,
6	seeing you have been taking out my daughter I had better
7	be honest with you." He said, "Please don't tell them."
8	He said, "They are just salt tablets," but they thought
9	they were getting these enhancing drugs.
10	So I think it has been around but perhaps to the

So I think it has been around but perhaps to the point nowhere near as sophisticated. And I can say to you that elite athletes will pay as much for performance enhancing drugs on the black market, and that is where mainly it occurs, than they will for the cocaine, the ice, the legal drugs which we know can be frightfully expensive. We hear that every time we hear someone going through the courts. I think it has increased significantly simply because they have the money, the sport has got money in it, and they are looking constantly for that little bit of an advantage.

QUESTION: Thank you very much. I am a doctor and middle aged
man in Lycra. I just had one question for you. Do you
have any views or any evidence on much WADA and all these
activities have in actually cleaning up sports and
actually diminishing the drug use in sport?

MR FAHEY: Yes, look, I think that is the \$64 question. I look back on my six years and say, "Have we made a difference in my time? Have I assisted in any way? Have we made a difference?" I think people understand the problem now and I am not sure they saw it as a problem. This whole thing came out of the Eastern Bloc and can you recall what

2.0

was going on in those countries? You know, who remembers that Chinese swimmer in the World Championships in Perth in 1998? Monstrous person. I was talking to Dick Pound about her once and, I mean, she had a suitcase full of steroids and she got deported very quickly when it was discovered.

But it was just par for the course in China. It was just part for the course. In East Germany, the academies of sport they estimate that 10,000 athletes in East Germany alone were tampered with, with performance enhancing drugs during the 60s, 70s and 80s. I might add when I spoke to Dick Pound about that swimmer he gave me a lovely line. Dick was good at that. He said, "I remember her, John." He came from swimming. He said, "She had muscles in places where most people don't have places."

And I thought: great description.

I would say this, that there is a greater awareness that there are still a significant percentage of athletes who are taking drugs. We are catching, I suspect, much less than half. But I think overall there is less cheating because of the awareness. I can also say this, that the Olympics are pretty clean now. Only an idiot would take drugs during the Olympics because everyone that gets into the first five places of a medal round has to give a sample. So, you know, you are not going to get anywhere without having to give yourself up for analysis.

So that is getting a whole lot less. What worries me is the micro-dosing and I think it is fair to say that it goes through your system, a bit like alcohol, take a drug, it's not there in 15, 25 hours later, whatever it is, it goes out of your system and can't be detected. But

2.5

1	there	is	a benefit	if	you	are	micro-	dosing	of	staying	just
2	helow	the	radar: a	14.	++1e	hit	today.	a litt	-1-	hit	

3 tomorrow. Knock it off three days before the event.

Nobody is going to pick you up at the event because it has run out of your system. But there is a benefit there.

I believe we are. For example, we tackle now players coming back from injury, athletes coming back from injury who need a bit of a hand, or at least they think they do. We tackle those that come out of retirement with about a year to micro-dose and all the rest of it. We obviously look at the athletes of certain coaches who have been known to have erred in the past. But we are not catching them all sadly. We are catching more than was the case before and I believe we are deterring many more.

So I finish by saying: is this a race we will win?

The answer is we will never get to the finishing line
human nature being what it is because I guess human nature
says from the days of Adam and Eve, if you believe in
that, that, you know, there is a temptation there. It is
fame and fortune if you succeed in sport. If you can get
that slight advantage people will I suspect, just by
nature of what character is, take a chance. So we have to
keep going because it is important and it is important for
sport. But we are not going to ever say we won this
battle.

- 27 QUESTION: (Off-microphone) (Indistinct.)
- 28 MR FAHEY: A short answer is what you need, not a short
- 29 question.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

2.5

- 30 QUESTION: Thank you for that. Thank you for putting a human
- face on WADA as well. That certainly gives a little

1	different insight into things. My name is Dennis
2	Hemphill. My question has to do our sport crazy culture,
3	whether the rewards and the pressures contribute to the
4	temptation for athletes to use drugs.
5	MR FAHEY: I am not quite (indistinct).
6	QUESTION: That is better. The question is whether our sport
7	crazy culture and the rewards and pressures on athletes
8	contributes to the temptation for athletes to use drugs?
9	MR FAHEY: Yes, I mean, that great American gridiron coach once
10	said that winning isn't the only thing, it's everything.
11	And I think we all know that the pressure is there in
12	sport because of the position it occupies in our lives. I
13	mean, the simple fact is that if you don't succeed as a
14	coach, you get sacked. If you don't succeed as a player,
15	your contract is not renewed. That is another one we
16	focus on too: athletes who are coming or wanting to renew
17	their contract. They want to do a few good games there
18	and so are tempted to take a step there just to ensure
19	that they get a second contract.
20	But that is there constantly. I mean, it is all
21	about, you know, who remembers who ran second in last
22	year's Melbourne Cup? I don't. None of us do. It's all
23	about winning and therefore that puts enormous pressure
24	and when the reward is there. I mean, one of the things
25	that I have always had real concern about is this pool of
26	money that we give for success to many of those sports
27	that were previously amateur. We do in Australia. If you

And I realised just how bad this was when one day I

the Olympics, there is a sum of money the Australian

Olympic Committee will give you for it.

win a gold medal or a silver medal or a bronze medal in

28

29

30

was in Beijing before the Beijing Olympics and the report came through in the news that morning that 11 Greek weightlifters had been picked up for their specimens showed positive. So I said to my Chinese host, because the article also said that they got their stuff in Shanghai, I said, "You would have seen this article this morning," when we met a little later in the day. They didn't know what I was talking about but anyhow.

Then I dug a bit deeper as the days went on. I mean, they went down to Shanghai and closed this place down and 42 others. But I suspect there were probably 420 others that were making these drugs in China somewhere. But I realised that why you would cheat in Greece because there is no money in weightlifting that I know of. There may be a little bit but it's hardly expenses. What Greece offered to medallists and weightlifting picked it up in a big way and then started to win medals since the Barcelona games. Every Olympics they won more than one medal in weightlifting. They gave them a job for life, 50,000 Euros and that job for life was either in the civil arm of the military or in the public sector and a house.

And if you are weightlifter, firstly you are usually not a doctor or a lawyer. I am going to offend someone now by saying that. But you are usually not. And here is a chance for in your country, God help me in Greece, for fortune and fame; fame from the gold and fortune because the rest of your life is taken care of. And I think that is just wrong because we are encouraging people to do things, take a chance, if you get away with it there is a reward.

It is almost like saying you know rob the bank and

2.5

1	hope to God nobody is watching when you are doing it. You
2	might get away with it. You might get away with it once
3	in a hundred times but, you know, is it worth the risk?
4	It isn't. But, I mean, what are your morals and your
5	standards anyhow and that is why I go back to education.
6	And so we have got to get education across the board and
7	say sport is about fair play and we have to have values
8	that underpin everything we do in sport because of all the
9	massive benefits it gives us. And, you know, my life
10	would be empty without sport, I can say that. Well, most.
11	You know, as long as I had my wife and the kids I would
12	probably get by but it would be shallow. It would be
13	shallow without sport. And I suspect there are many
14	people like me. So, you know, there are a lot of wrong
15	signals that we do give and wrong decisions that we make
16	that may encourage people doing things that otherwise they
17	mightn't.
18	DR LYTHGO: I would like to now invite Darren Bracken to thank
19	John Fahey on our behalf. I thought Darren was the
20	appropriate person to ask because he comes to our
21	committee meetings in Lycra and I must say it is lovely to
22	see him so beautifully dressed tonight.
23	MR BRACKEN: I have got the Lycra underneath but perhaps we
24	won't talk about that. John's enthusiasm for a level
25	playing field is obvious and it is clearly something for
26	which the world owes him a debt. I might say that I am
27	almost quite relieved to hear that he like so many others
28	didn't inhale at university. I wanted to print something
29	this afternoon when I was doing a little bit of research
30	from the WADA website and my computer instructed me that I
31	needed to be aware of whether the website was safe before

	I	was	able	to	print	it.
--	---	-----	------	----	-------	-----

2.0

I told the computer that it was safe and so it printed it for me. Naturally I was glad about that. My trust it would seem wasn't misplaced in placing trust in the WADA website. I didn't and haven't had any trouble with doping authorities since or despite perhaps my addiction to Lycra. That is probably more than we can say for at least one Australian athlete whose mother probably told him that she could be trusted, despite that he found himself in some difficulties with that.

WADA's success and its effect on the cleaning up of sport and providing that level playing field is really quite clear. We haven't seen of recent times the difficulties that the Chinese athletes in the 1994 World Swimming Championships presented and clearly we can't forget Mr Armstrong and his associates of recent times. Not only do sports of all stripes owe a great deal of thanks to John and to WADA but the world generally and because of the role sports play in our communities owes him a great deal of thanks as well. Tonight may I ask you to join me in thanking him for the marvellous presentation this evening, it is terribly informative.

There is a bottle of wine somewhere but I think Robert may have drank it.

2.5